

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,102		03/06/2002	David Watling	15568.1	5518
22913	7590	04/26/2006		EXAMINER	
WORKN	IAN NY	DEGGER	JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE		
(F/K/A W 60 EAST		N NYDEGGER & SE FEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		E TOWER	1744		
SALT LA	KE CITY	, UT 84111			

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/009,102	WATLING, DAVID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Krisanne Jastrzab	1744		

	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover shee	t with the corresponde	ence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in contime periods:	o or on the same day as filing e following replies: (1) an ame) a Notice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of Appeal. To a endment, affidavit, or oth peal fee) in compliance v	avoid abandonment of ler evidence, which with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date or 	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the o	date set forth in the final rej	ection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M	(a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing date of the fi) WHEN THE FIRST REPL	nal rejection. _Y WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th	e date on which the petition unde	r 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the	appropriate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perior under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL	d of extension and the correspone of the shortened statutory period be later than three months after th	ding amount of the fee. The	e appropriate extension fee final Office action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	v extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the anneal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sear	iling a brief, will <u>not</u> be e ch (see NOTE below);	entered because
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE) (c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or 	in better form for appeal by n		
(d) They present additional claims without cancel NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	3(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 	ion(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	s): a)	or b) will be entered d.	and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	od and sufficient reasons why	the affidavit or other ev	ridence is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections us essary and was not earlier pro	under appeal and/or app esented. See 37 CFR 4	pellant fails to provide a 1.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been consider <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			r allowance because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 3. Other:	nt(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	I9) Paper No(s).	
		Krisanne .	Jastrzab J
		Primary Ex	aminer

Art Unit: 1744

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Cummings fails to teach monitoring the gas condenstion as required by both claims 13 and 20, and that the secondary references do not overcome this deficiency and that no motivation exists for their combination, the Examiner would disagree. Applicant argues that one would not be motivated to recirculate the exiting gas of Cummings because it is primarily water vapor, however the Examiner would disagree and point out that carrier gas is required to carry the hydrogen peroxide into the system and Cummings and both Childers are clearly analagous in that respect, with the Childers references simplifying carrier gas supply through recirculation. It is noted that both Childers address drying the gas prior to recirculating to remove excess water vapor which would clearly function with Cummings system. It is further noted that Childers '794 clearly teaches maintaining a given saturation level within the chamber to achieve a given condensation, and specifically monitors the relative humidity for such saturation levels, as well as the sterilant concentration, temperture and pressure within the system in order to control the continued injection of hydrogen peroxide based on those parameters, such control being combined with and improving upon the control recited by Cummings (see the bottom of column 6 through line 15 of column 7 of Childers '794 as well as column 3, lines 40-55 and column 4, lines 20-60 of Cummings). The combination of Cummings and the Childers references is proper and fully covers all instantly claimed limitations.